## CHAPTER 2010-279

## Council Substitute for Council Substitute for House Bill No. 1565

An act relating to rulemaking; amending s. 120.54, F.S.; requiring each agency, before adopting, amending, or repealing certain rules, to prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs of the proposed rule if the proposed rule has adverse impacts on small business or increases regulatory costs; providing an exception to circumstances under which an emergency rule shall not be effective: amending s. 120.541, F.S.: providing circumstances under which an agency shall prepare or revise a statement of estimated regulatory costs; providing notice requirements; providing that an agency's failure to prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs or respond to a written lower cost regulatory alternative is a material failure to follow the applicable rulemaking procedures or requirements of the chapter; specifying circumstances under which certain challenges may not be raised; providing exceptions; specifying the requirements for an economic analysis on a proposed rule or rule changes; requiring that a rule impact analysis for small businesses include the agency's basis for not implementing alternatives to a proposed rule; providing circumstances under which a rule shall not take effect until ratified by the Legislature; providing that the act is not applicable to certain specified rules or standards; amending s. 120.56, F.S.; providing for revised statements of estimated regulatory costs as a basis for challenging a rule; amending s. 120.60, F.S.; authorizing an agency to provide by rule for the time period for submitting additional information needed for a license application; requiring that certain requests to receive notice relating to a license application be submitted in writing; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (b) of subsection (3) and paragraph (c) of subsection (4) of section 120.54, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

120.54 Rulemaking.—

- (3) ADOPTION PROCEDURES.—
- (b) Special matters to be considered in rule adoption.—

1. Statement of estimated regulatory costs.—Prior to the adoption, amendment, or repeal of any rule other than an emergency rule, an agency is encouraged to prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs of the proposed rule, as provided by s. 120.541. However, an agency <u>must shall</u> prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs of the proposed rule, as provided by s. 120.541, if:

a. The proposed rule will have an adverse impact on small business; or

b. The proposed rule is likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after the implementation of the rule.

2. Small businesses, small counties, and small cities.—

Each agency, before the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule, shall a. consider the impact of the rule on small businesses as defined by s. 288.703 and the impact of the rule on small counties or small cities as defined by s. 120.52. Whenever practicable, an agency shall tier its rules to reduce disproportionate impacts on small businesses, small counties, or small cities to avoid regulating small businesses, small counties, or small cities that do not contribute significantly to the problem the rule is designed to address. An agency may define "small business" to include businesses employing more than 200 persons, may define "small county" to include those with populations of more than 75,000, and may define "small city" to include those with populations of more than 10,000, if it finds that such a definition is necessary to adapt a rule to the needs and problems of small businesses, small counties, or small cities. The agency shall consider each of the following methods for reducing the impact of the proposed rule on small businesses, small counties, and small cities, or any combination of these entities:

(I) Establishing less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rule.

(II) Establishing less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rule for compliance or reporting requirements.

(III) Consolidating or simplifying the rule's compliance or reporting requirements.

(IV) Establishing performance standards or best management practices to replace design or operational standards in the rule.

(V) Exempting small businesses, small counties, or small cities from any or all requirements of the rule.

b.(I) If the agency determines that the proposed action will affect small businesses as defined by the agency as provided in sub-subparagraph a., the agency shall send written notice of the rule to the Small Business Regulatory Advisory Council and the Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development not less than 28 days prior to the intended action.

(II) Each agency shall adopt those regulatory alternatives offered by the Small Business Regulatory Advisory Council and provided to the agency no later than 21 days after the council's receipt of the written notice of the rule which it finds are feasible and consistent with the stated objectives of the proposed rule and which would reduce the impact on small businesses. When regulatory alternatives are offered by the Small Business Regulatory

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Advisory Council, the 90-day period for filing the rule in subparagraph (e)2. is extended for a period of 21 days.

(III) If an agency does not adopt all alternatives offered pursuant to this sub-subparagraph, it shall, prior to rule adoption or amendment and pursuant to subparagraph (d)1., file a detailed written statement with the committee explaining the reasons for failure to adopt such alternatives. Within 3 working days of the filing of such notice, the agency shall send a copy of such notice to the Small Business Regulatory Advisory Council. The Small Business Regulatory Advisory Council may make a request of the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives that the presiding officers direct the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability to determine whether the rejected alternatives reduce the impact on small business while meeting the stated objectives of the proposed rule. Within 60 days after the date of the directive from the presiding officers, the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability shall report to the Administrative Procedures Committee its findings as to whether an alternative reduces the impact on small business while meeting the stated objectives of the proposed rule. The Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability shall consider the proposed rule, the economic impact statement, the written statement of the agency, the proposed alternatives, and any comment submitted during the comment period on the proposed rule. The Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability shall submit a report of its findings and recommendations to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives. The Administrative Procedures Committee shall report such findings to the agency, and the agency shall respond in writing to the Administrative Procedures Committee if the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability found that the alternative reduced the impact on small business while meeting the stated objectives of the proposed rule. If the agency will not adopt the alternative, it must also provide a detailed written statement to the committee as to why it will not adopt the alternative.

(4) EMERGENCY RULES.—

(c) An emergency rule adopted under this subsection shall not be effective for a period longer than 90 days and shall not be renewable, except <u>when the</u> <u>agency has initiated rulemaking to adopt rules addressing the subject of the</u> <u>emergency rule and either:</u> during the pendency of

<u>1.</u> A challenge to <u>the</u> proposed rules <u>has been filed and remains pending;</u> <u>or addressing the subject of the emergency rule</u>

2. The proposed rules are awaiting ratification by the Legislature pursuant to s. 120.541(3).

<u>Nothing in this paragraph prohibits</u> However, the agency from adopting a rule or rules identical to the emergency rule through may take identical action by the rulemaking procedures specified in subsection (3) this chapter.

3

Section 2. Section 120.541, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

120.541 Statement of estimated regulatory costs.—

(1)(a) A substantially affected person, Within 21 days after publication of the notice required provided under s. 120.54(3)(a), a substantially affected person may submit to an agency a good faith written proposal for a lower cost regulatory alternative to a proposed rule which substantially accomplishes the objectives of the law being implemented. The proposal may include the alternative of not adopting any rule if, so long as the proposal explains how the lower costs and objectives of the law will be achieved by not adopting any rule. If such a proposal is submitted, the 90-day period for filing the rule is extended 21 days.

(b) Upon the submission of the lower cost regulatory alternative, the agency shall prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs as provided in subsection (2), or shall revise its prior statement of estimated regulatory costs, and either adopt the alternative or <u>provide</u> give a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. The failure of the agency to prepare or revise the statement of estimated regulatory costs as provided in this paragraph is a material failure to follow the applicable rulemaking procedures or requirements set forth in this chapter. An agency required to prepare or revise a statement of estimated regulatory costs as provided in this paragraph shall make it available to the person who submits the lower cost regulatory alternative and to the public prior to filing the rule for adoption.

(b) If a proposed rule will have an adverse impact on small business or if the proposed rule is likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate within 1 year after the implementation of the rule, the agency shall prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs as required by s. 120.54(3)(b).

(c) The agency shall revise a statement of estimated regulatory costs if any change to the rule made under s. 120.54(3)(d) increases the regulatory costs of the rule.

(d) At least 45 days before filing the rule for adoption, an agency that is required to revise a statement of estimated regulatory costs shall provide the statement to the person who submitted the lower cost regulatory alternative and to the committee and shall provide notice on the agency's website that it is available to the public.

(e) Notwithstanding s. 120.56(1)(c), the failure of the agency to prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs or to respond to a written lower cost regulatory alternative as provided in this subsection is a material failure to follow the applicable rulemaking procedures or requirements set forth in this chapter.

4

(f)(c) An agency's failure to prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs or to respond to a written lower cost regulatory alternative may not be raised in a proceeding challenging the validity of a rule pursuant to s. 120.52(8)(a) No rule shall be declared invalid because it imposes regulatory costs on the regulated person, county, or city which could be reduced by the adoption of less costly alternatives that substantially accomplish the statutory objectives, and no rule shall be declared invalid based upon a challenge to the agency's statement of regulatory costs, unless:

1. The issue is Raised in <u>a petition filed no later than</u> an administrative proceeding within 1 year after the effective date of the rule; and

2. <u>Raised by a person whose substantial interests are affected by the</u> <u>rule's regulatory costs.</u> The substantial interests of the person challenging the agency's rejection of, or failure to consider, the lower cost regulatory alternative are materially affected by the rejection; and

3.a. The agency has failed to prepare or revise the statement of estimated regulatory costs as required by paragraph (b); or

b. The challenge is to the agency's rejection under paragraph (b) of a lower cost regulatory alternative submitted under paragraph (a).

(g) A rule that is challenged pursuant to s. 120.52(8)(f) may not be declared invalid unless:

<u>1. The issue is raised in an administrative proceeding within 1 year after</u> the effective date of the rule;

2. The challenge is to the agency's rejection of a lower cost regulatory alternative offered under paragraph (a) or s. 120.54(3)(b)2.b.; and

<u>3. The substantial interests of the person challenging the rule are materially affected by the rejection.</u>

(2) A statement of estimated regulatory costs shall include:

(a) <u>An economic analysis showing whether the rule directly or indirectly:</u>

1. Is likely to have an adverse impact on economic growth, private-sector job creation or employment, or private-sector investment in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule;

2. Is likely to have an adverse impact on business competitiveness, including the ability of persons doing business in the state to compete with persons doing business in other states or domestic markets, productivity, or innovation in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule; or

5

3. Is likely to increase regulatory costs, including any transactional costs, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule.

(b) A good faith estimate of the number of individuals and entities likely to be required to comply with the rule, together with a general description of the types of individuals likely to be affected by the rule.

 $(\underline{c})(\underline{b})$  A good faith estimate of the cost to the agency, and to any other state and local government entities, of implementing and enforcing the proposed rule, and any anticipated effect on state or local revenues.

(d)(e) A good faith estimate of the transactional costs likely to be incurred by individuals and entities, including local government entities, required to comply with the requirements of the rule. As used in this <u>section paragraph</u>, "transactional costs" are direct costs that are readily ascertainable based upon standard business practices, and include filing fees, the cost of obtaining a license, the cost of equipment required to be installed or used or procedures required to be employed in complying with the rule, additional operating costs incurred, and the cost of monitoring and reporting, and any other costs necessary to comply with the rule.

(e)(d) An analysis of the impact on small businesses as defined by s. 288.703, and an analysis of the impact on small counties and small cities as defined in by s. 120.52. The impact analysis for small businesses must include the basis for the agency's decision not to implement alternatives that would reduce adverse impacts on small businesses.

 $(\underline{f})(\underline{e})$  Any additional information that the agency determines may be useful.

(g)(f) In the statement or revised statement, whichever applies, a description of any <u>regulatory alternatives</u> good faith written proposal submitted under paragraph (1)(a) and either a statement adopting the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule.

(3) If the adverse impact or regulatory costs of the rule exceed any of the criteria established in paragraph (2)(a), the rule shall be submitted to the President of the Senate and Speaker of the House of Representatives no later than 30 days prior to the next regular legislative session, and the rule may not take effect until it is ratified by the Legislature.

(4) Paragraph (2)(a) does not apply to the adoption of emergency rules pursuant to s. 120.54(4) or the adoption of federal standards pursuant to s. 120.54(6).

Section 3. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) and paragraph (d) of subsection (4) of section 120.56, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

120.56 Challenges to rules.—

6

## (2) CHALLENGING PROPOSED RULES; SPECIAL PROVISIONS.—

(a) <u>A</u> Any substantially affected person may seek an administrative determination of the invalidity of <u>a</u> any proposed rule by filing a petition seeking such a determination with the division within 21 days after the date of publication of the notice required by s.  $120.54(3)(a)_{27}$  within 10 days after the final public hearing is held on the proposed rule as provided by s. 120.54(3)(e)2.;, within 44 20 days after the statement of estimated regulatory costs or revised statement of estimated regulatory costs, if applicable, has been prepared and made available as provided in s. 120.541(1)(d); required pursuant to s. 120.541, if applicable, has been provided to all persons who submitted a lower cost regulatory alternative and made available to the <del>public,</del> or within 20 days after the date of publication of the notice required by s. 120.54(3)(d). The petition must shall state with particularity the objections to the proposed rule and the reasons that the proposed rule is an invalid exercise of delegated legislative authority. The petitioner has the burden of going forward. The agency then has the burden to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed rule is not an invalid exercise of delegated legislative authority as to the objections raised. A Any person who is substantially affected by a change in the proposed rule may seek a determination of the validity of such change. A Any person who is not substantially affected by the proposed rule as initially noticed, but who is substantially affected by the rule as a result of a change, may challenge any provision of the rule and is not limited to challenging the change to the proposed rule.

(4) CHALLENGING AGENCY STATEMENTS DEFINED AS RULES; SPECIAL PROVISIONS.—

(d) If an administrative law judge enters a final order that all or part of an agency statement violates s. 120.54(1)(a), the agency <u>must shall</u> immediately discontinue all reliance upon the statement or any substantially similar statement as a basis for agency action. This paragraph shall not be construed to impair the obligation of contracts existing at the time the final order is entered.

Section 4. Subsections (1) and (3) of section 120.60, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

120.60 Licensing.—

(1) Upon receipt of an application for a license <u>application</u>, an agency shall examine the application and, within 30 days after such receipt, notify the applicant of any apparent errors or omissions and request any additional information the agency is permitted by law to require. An agency <u>may shall</u> not deny a license for failure to correct an error or omission or to supply additional information unless the agency timely notified the applicant within this 30-day period. <u>The agency may establish by rule the time period for submitting any additional information requested by the agency.</u> For good cause shown, the agency shall grant a request for an extension of time for

7

submitting the additional information. If the applicant believes the agency's request for additional information is not authorized by law or rule, the agency, at the applicant's request, shall proceed to process the application. An application is shall be considered complete upon receipt of all requested information and correction of any error or omission for which the applicant was timely notified or when the time for such notification has expired. An Every application for a license must shall be approved or denied within 90 days after receipt of a completed application unless a shorter period of time for agency action is provided by law. The 90-day time period is shall be tolled by the initiation of a proceeding under ss. 120.569 and 120.57. Any application for a license which that is not approved or denied within the 90-day or shorter time period, within 15 days after conclusion of a public hearing held on the application, or within 45 days after a recommended order is submitted to the agency and the parties, whichever action and timeframe is latest and applicable, is considered approved unless the recommended order recommends that the agency deny the license. Subject to the satisfactory completion of an examination if required as a prerequisite to licensure, any license that is considered approved shall be issued and may include such reasonable conditions as are authorized by law. Any applicant for licensure seeking to claim licensure by default under this subsection shall notify the agency clerk of the licensing agency, in writing, of the intent to rely upon the default license provision of this subsection, and may shall not take any action based upon the default license until after receipt of such notice by the agency clerk.

(3) Each applicant shall be given written notice, either personally or by mail, that the agency intends to grant or deny, or has granted or denied, the application for license. The notice must state with particularity the grounds or basis for the issuance or denial of the license, except when issuance is a ministerial act. Unless waived, a copy of the notice shall be delivered or mailed to each party's attorney of record and to each person who has <u>made a written request for requested</u> notice of agency action. Each notice <u>must shall</u> inform the recipient of the basis for the agency decision, <u>shall</u> inform the recipient of any administrative hearing pursuant to ss. 120.569 and 120.57 or judicial review pursuant to s. 120.68 which may be available, <u>shall</u> indicate the procedure <u>that which</u> must be followed, and <u>shall</u> state the applicable time limits. The issuing agency shall certify the date the notice was mailed or delivered, and the notice and the certification <u>must shall</u> be filed with the agency clerk.

Section 5. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Vetoed by the Governor May 28, 2010.

Passed the House over the veto November 16, 2010.

Passed the Senate over the veto November 16, 2010.

Filed in Office Secretary of State November 16, 2010.

8